Orbital magnetization

Koji Usami*

(Dated: October 19, 2020)

We shall discuss the modern theory of orbital magnetization. This constitutes the first step for understanding the very interesting topological magneto-electric effect with axion electrodynamics (not discussing here, though).

I. SURFACE CHARGE AND SURFACE CURRENT [1, 2]

A. Surface charge and electric polarization

From the charge conservation, we have

$$\int \left(\frac{d\rho(\boldsymbol{r})}{dt}\right) dv = \int \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}\right) dv$$
$$= \int \left(\boldsymbol{J} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}\right) dA. \tag{1}$$

When we consider the surface region with the surface charge density σ_{surf} we have the total charge of

$$\int \left(\frac{d\rho(\mathbf{r})}{dt}\right) dv = \int \left(\frac{d\sigma_{\text{surf}}}{dt}\right) dA.$$
(2)

This leads to

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{surf}}}{dt} = \underbrace{J}_{\underbrace{dP}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n},\tag{3}$$

and thus

$$\sigma_{\rm surf} = \boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}. \tag{4}$$

This surface charge density σ_{surf} is thus related to the bulk electric polarization P. Here, the electric polarization P is given by the Zak phase

$$\phi_n(\lambda) = \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{a}} dk \left(i \left\langle u_{k,n}(\lambda) \middle| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \middle| u_{k,n}(\lambda) \right\rangle \right).$$
(5)

or the Wannier center

$$\bar{x}_n(\lambda) = \frac{a}{2\pi} \phi_n(\lambda) = \frac{a}{2\pi} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{a}} dk \left(i \left\langle u_{k,n}(\lambda) \middle| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \middle| u_{k,n}(\lambda) \right\rangle \right).$$
(6)

for the filled band n; namely

$$\boldsymbol{P}(\lambda) = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \sum_{n} \phi_n(\lambda) = -\frac{e}{a} \sum_{n} \bar{x}_n(\lambda).$$
(7)

^{*} usami@qc.rcast.u-tokyo.ac.jp

B. Surface current and orbital magnetization

Let us seek the similar surface-bulk relation for the surface bound current K_{surf} . The classical electromagnetism tells us that the magnetic dipole moment along z-axis m that is produced by a current I flowing in a loop on xy-plane is given by

$$m = IA \tag{8}$$

where A is the area of the loop. The relation can be rewritten in terms of vectors as

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\m \end{bmatrix}}_{\boldsymbol{m}} = A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -I\sin\phi\\I\cos\phi\\0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\boldsymbol{I}} \times \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \cos\phi\\\sin\phi\\0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\boldsymbol{n}}, \tag{9}$$

where $\boldsymbol{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi \\ \sin \phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is the unit vector normal to the loop. The relation can be inverted to give

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -I\sin\phi\\I\cos\phi\\0\end{bmatrix}}_{I} = \frac{1}{A}\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\m\end{bmatrix}}_{m} \times \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \cos\phi\\\sin\phi\\0\end{bmatrix}}_{n}.$$
(10)

Let us then consider a ribbon with width of d that is made by extruding the loop we have just considered. The relation (10) does not change. Dividing both sides of Eq.(10) by d we have

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{\text{surf}} = \frac{1}{Ad} \boldsymbol{m} \times \boldsymbol{n}.$$
 (11)

where $K_{surf} = \frac{I}{d}$ is the *surface* current.

Now consider a magnetized cylinder with thickness of d having the top and bottom surfaces of area A. In this case, we can expect that

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{\text{surf}} = \boldsymbol{M} \times \boldsymbol{n} \tag{12}$$

hold. Here

$$M = \frac{m}{Ad},\tag{13}$$

is the magnetization, that is, the magnetic moment per unit volume. As for the typical magnetized materials, namely, the ferromagnets, the magnetization M is predominantly emerged as a result that macroscopic number of the localized electron spins align in particular direction (here z-axis). Putting this *spin* magnetization M_{spin} aside we shall investigate the *orbital* magnetization M_{orb} . The orbital magnetization M_{orb} emerged due to the the orbital degree of freedom of the Bloch electrons has attracted much attentions these days. The *surface-bulk* relation can thus be written as

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{\text{surf}} = \boldsymbol{M}_{\text{orb}} \times \boldsymbol{n}. \tag{14}$$

The next question is how can the orbital magnetization $M_{\rm orb}$ be expressed in terms of microscopic bulk quantities.

II. ORBITAL MAGNETIZATION [2, 3]

A. Real-space expression

Given an isolated atom, the ratio between the orbital magnetic dipole moment m_{orb} and the orbital angular momentum $L = x \times p$ is called the gyromagnetic ratio, γ_s . The magnetic moment can thus be given in terms of x

and p as

$$\boldsymbol{m}_{\rm orb} = \underbrace{\gamma_s}_{-\frac{e}{2m_e}} \left(\boldsymbol{x} \times \underbrace{\boldsymbol{p}}_{m_e \boldsymbol{v}} \right) = -\frac{e}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{v} \right).$$
(15)

Let us imagine that we have a 2D topologically trivial insulating crystal [3] with the area of A, wherein these isolated atoms form a 2D array. The orbital magnetization M_{orb} would then be written as

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\rm orb} = -\frac{e}{2A} \sum_{i} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_i \times \boldsymbol{v}_i \right). \tag{16}$$

The corresponding quantum-mechanical expression is given by

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\rm orb} = -\frac{e}{2A} \sum_{i} \left\langle \phi_i | (\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{v}) | \phi_i \right\rangle, \tag{17}$$

where $|\phi_i\rangle$ is the so-called *Wannier function* that is defined by Fourier-transforming the Bloch function $|\psi_k\rangle = e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} |u_k\rangle$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_i\rangle &= \frac{A_0}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathrm{BZ}} d^2 k e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_i} |\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}\rangle \\ &= \frac{A_0}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathrm{BZ}} d^2 k e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}_i-\boldsymbol{x})} |u_{\boldsymbol{k}}\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

which is localized at \boldsymbol{x}_i , where A_0 is the unit-cell area and the integration is performed within the Brillouin zone. Conversely, the Bloch function $|\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}\rangle$ can be expressed by the Wannier functions $|\phi_i\rangle$ as

$$\left|\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right\rangle = \sum_{i} e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{i}} \left|\phi_{i}\right\rangle.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

Here, we have a dangerous position operator x in Eq. (17). Following Thonhauser *et al.* [2, 3], let us split M_{orb} in Eq. (17) into two contributions:

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\rm orb} = \underbrace{\left(-\frac{e}{2A}\sum_{i}\langle\phi_{i}|((\boldsymbol{x}-\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i})\times\boldsymbol{v})|\phi_{i}\rangle\right)}_{\boldsymbol{M}_{LC}} + \underbrace{\left(-\frac{e}{2A}\sum_{i}\langle\phi_{i}|(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}\times\boldsymbol{v})|\phi_{i}\rangle\right)}_{\boldsymbol{M}_{IC}},\tag{20}$$

where

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} = \langle \phi_{i} | \boldsymbol{x} | \phi_{i} \rangle = \frac{A_{0}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{\mathrm{BZ}} d^{2}k \left(i \left\langle u_{\boldsymbol{k}} \middle| \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}} \middle| u_{\boldsymbol{k}} \right\rangle \right)$$
(21)

is the 2D analog of the Wannier center. Here, M_{LC} can be interpreted as the magnetization arising due to the *local* circulation of the electrons within the unit-cell area A_0 in the interior bulk region. Using the translational symmetry, we have

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{LC} = -\frac{e}{2(NA_0)} \sum_{i} \langle \phi_i | ((\boldsymbol{x} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i) \times \boldsymbol{v}) | \phi_i \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{e}{2A_0} \langle \phi_0 | ((\boldsymbol{x} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_0) \times \boldsymbol{v}) | \phi_0 \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{e}{2A_0} \langle \phi_0 | (\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{v}) | \phi_0 \rangle$$
(22)

since

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_0 = \langle \phi_0 | \boldsymbol{v} | \phi_0 \rangle = 0. \tag{23}$$

We can manipulate Eq. (22) a bit further to obtain

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{M}_{LC} &= -\frac{e}{2A_0} \left\langle \phi_0 \left| \left(\boldsymbol{x} \times \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\boldsymbol{x}, H \right] \right) \right) \right| \phi_0 \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{ie}{2A_0\hbar} \underbrace{\langle \phi_0 | (\boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{x}H) | \phi_0 \rangle}_{0} - \frac{ie}{2A_0\hbar} \left\langle \phi_0 | (\boldsymbol{x} \times H\boldsymbol{x}) | \phi_0 \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{ie}{2A_0\hbar} \left\langle \phi_0 | (\boldsymbol{x} \times H\boldsymbol{x}) | \phi_0 \right\rangle \end{split}$$
(24)

 M_{IC} is, on the other hand, interpreted as the magnetization arising due to the *itinerant circulation* of the electrons only at the *surface* region. While in the interior region,

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{IC} = -\frac{e}{2A_0} \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_0 \times \underbrace{\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_0}_0 \right) = 0, \tag{25}$$

in the surface region, since

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_s = \langle \phi_s | \boldsymbol{v} | \phi_s \rangle \tag{26}$$

may not necessarily vanish at the surface, we have

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{IC} = -\frac{e}{2A} \sum_{s} \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_s \times \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_s \right), \tag{27}$$

where the sum s runs only over the sites in the surface region.

B. Reciprocal-space expression

Back in the Bloch basis, after some algebra, Eq. (24) becomes

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{LC} = -\frac{e}{2\hbar} \operatorname{Im} \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \left\langle \frac{\partial u_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}} \right| \times H_{\boldsymbol{k}} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}} \right\rangle, \tag{28}$$

where $H_{\mathbf{k}} = e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}He^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$ and Eq. (27) becomes

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{IC} = -\frac{e}{2\hbar} \operatorname{Im} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} E_{\boldsymbol{k}} \underbrace{\left\langle \frac{\partial u_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}} \middle| \times \middle| \frac{\partial u_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}} \right\rangle}_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{k}}: \text{ Berry curvature}},$$
(29)

where $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | H | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ is the band energy. We thus finally arrive at

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\rm orb} = \boldsymbol{M}_{LC} + \boldsymbol{M}_{IC} = -\frac{e}{2\hbar} \operatorname{Im} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \left\langle \frac{\partial u_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}} \right| \times \left(H_{\boldsymbol{k}} + E_{\boldsymbol{k}} \right) \left| \frac{\partial u_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}} \right\rangle, \tag{30}$$

an expression of $M_{\rm orb}$ that contains only bulk quantities!

Unlike the electric polarization P in Eq. (7) which defined modulo e, however, the orbital magnetization M_{orb} in Eq. (30) is well-defined [2].

[1] E. M. Purcell, *Electricity and Magnetism*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011)

[3] T. Thonhauser, D. Ceresoli, D. Vanderbilt, and R. Resta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 137205 (2005).

 ^[2] D. Vanderbilt, Berry Phases in Electronic Structure Theory: Electric Polarization, Orbital Magnetization and Topological Insulators, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018).